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#### Abstract

For a nonequilibrium, multicomponent and isotropic continuum, the Gibbs caloric equation for mixtures is expressed with the aid of caloric equations for components and the partial pressure is defined and discussed. Previous definitions of caloric equations for components are shown to be not sufficiently general, while Truesdell's and Bowen's definition of partial pressure is in accord with that in the present work in the special case of caloric equations for components. Even in the general case the total pressure can be expressed as a sum of partial pressures defined in the present work. Bowen's definition of an ideal solution is generally not identical with that in classical thermodynamics.


The principle of local equilibrium of a nonequilibrium continuum was formulated in the preceding communication ${ }^{1}$ as a postulation of the Gibbs caloric equation for mixtures in specific variables. This postulate enabled to define the thermodynamic pressure, chemical potential, some other specific quantities of mixtures and partial specific quantities among which the same relations apply as among analogously named quantities in classical thermodynamics (e.g. Gibbs-Duhem equations). In the special case of a homogeneous system, these quantities become identical with the classical ones.

In the present work, the Gibbs caloric equation for mixtures is expressed with the aid of caloric equations for components (equations for partial specific internal energies), the partial specific volume is expressed simply in terms of these equations, and the partial pressure is defined.

The thermodynamics of mixtures after Truesdell and Toupin ${ }^{2}$ and Kelly ${ }^{3}$ is based on postulation of the caloric equations for components in a form which is not the most general one as will be shown below. The partial pressures defined by Truesdell ${ }^{2}$ (in § 255) and Bowen ${ }^{4}$ are for simplified caloric equations for mixtures identical with the definition given in the present work. In contrast to Bowen ${ }^{4}$, we show that even in the general case the total pressure can be expressed as a sum of partial pressures defined in the present work. Bowen's definition ${ }^{4}$ of an ideal solution, however, is not identical with that in classical chemical thermodynamics ${ }^{8}$ (chapter VII in ref. ${ }^{5}$ ).

Equations in the preceding communication ${ }^{1}$ are referred to in further text by their number preceded by I, e.g. (I-32).

## Caloric Equations for Components and Partial Pressure

We shall express the partial specific internal energies $u_{\mathrm{i}}$ as functions of partial specific entropies, $s_{1}$ through $s_{n}$, and densities of components, $\varrho_{1}$ through $\varrho_{n}$. By dif-

[^0]ferentiating the definitoric Eq. ( $1-32$ ), introducing the differential $\mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathrm{j}}$ in variables $T, P, w_{1}$ through $w_{n,-1}$ and using ( $I-29$ ) and $(I-30)$ we obtain
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} u_{\mathrm{i}}=T \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{i}}-P \mathrm{~d} v_{\mathrm{i}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial w_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It as apparent from the definition $(I-3),(I-5),(I-7),(I-10)$ and (I-30) that $v_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $=v_{\mathrm{i}}\left(s, \varrho_{1}, \ldots \varrho_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} v_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial s}\right)_{\rho_{j}} \mathrm{~d} s+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial \varrho_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad i, j=1 \text { to } n . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume now that T, P and the derivatives in Eqs (1) and (2) are known. We introduce the differential of Eq. (I-34) into (2) and the result into (1), where we replace the summation by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\partial \mu_{\mathrm{i}} / \partial w_{\mathrm{j}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}} \delta_{\mathrm{jl}} \mathrm{d} w_{\mathrm{l}}$, where the Kronecker symbol $\delta_{\mathrm{jl}}$ is equal to 1 for $j=l$ and otherwise equal to zero. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} u_{\mathrm{i}}=T \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{i}}-P & {\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial s}\right)_{\mathrm{ps}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{n} w_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{k}}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial s}\right)_{\mathrm{PJ}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{n} s_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial \varrho_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{pk}} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{\mathrm{k}}\right]+} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial w_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{wk}} \delta_{\mathrm{k} 1} \mathrm{~d} w_{1} ; i, j=1 \text { to } n . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs $(I-5)$ and ( $I-7$ ) give by differentiating

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} w_{\mathrm{k}}=\varrho^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{\mathrm{k}}-\varrho^{-2} \varrho_{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{1} ; \quad k=1 \text { to } n . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (4) introduced into (3) gives after rearrangement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} u_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \vartheta_{\mathrm{ik}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{1}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vartheta_{\mathrm{ik}} \equiv T \delta_{\mathrm{ik}}-P\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial s}\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}} w_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad i, j, k=1 \text { to } n,  \tag{6}\\
q_{\mathrm{i} 1} \equiv P \varrho^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial s}\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{\mathrm{k}} \varrho_{\mathrm{k}}-P\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial s}\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{j}} \varrho^{-1} s_{1}+\varrho^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial w_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{k}}} \delta_{\mathrm{k} 1}- \\
-\varrho^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial w_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}^{k}} \varrho_{\mathrm{k}}-P\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial \varrho_{1}}\right)_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}^{1}} ; \quad i, j, l=1 \text { to } n . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The quantities $\vartheta_{i k}$ and $q_{i 1}$ can be hence determined from thermodynamic properties of the mixture.

We now shall express the Gibbs caloric equation for mixtures (I-2) in terms of $\vartheta_{\mathbf{i k}}$ and $q_{i 1}\left(\right.$ ref. $\left.^{2}, \S 255\right)$. Eqs (5) introduced into the differential of Eq. ( $(-37)$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \vartheta_{i k} w_{i} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} q_{i 1} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{i}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} q_{i 1} ; \quad l=1 \text { to } n . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following expression follows from Eq. (6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{\mathrm{i}} \vartheta_{\mathrm{ik}}=T w_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad k=1 \text { to } n, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(\partial v_{\mathrm{i}} / \partial s\right)_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}}=0, \quad j=1 \text { to } n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows by differentiation of $(I-35)$ with respect to $s$ at constant $\varrho_{1}$ through $\varrho_{\mathrm{n}}$ with due account to Eqs (I-5) to (I-7). Introducing Eqs (9) and (10) into (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} u=T \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{1} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{1} \mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{i}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we substitute the differential of Eq. ( $I-34$ ) and use $(I-32)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} u=T \mathrm{~d} s+\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{1} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \mathrm{~d} w_{\mathrm{i}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use Eqs (4) and ( $I-36$ ) to obtain after rearrangement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} u=T \mathrm{~d} s+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[q_{1}+\varrho^{-1}\left(f_{1}-f\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \varrho_{1}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Gibbs caloric equation for mixtures, $(I-2)$, where the quantities $r_{1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{i}}=q_{\mathrm{i}}+\varrho^{-1}\left(f_{\mathrm{i}}-f\right), \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This in combination with the definition of the thermodynamic pressure $P,(I-10)$, and Eqs $(I-7),(I-8),(I-36)$ gives after rearrangement

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varrho_{i} q_{i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On introducing Eq. (15) into the definition of chemical potential $\mu_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{I}-11)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathrm{i}}=\varrho q_{\mathrm{i}}+f_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing this with $(I-31)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P v_{\mathrm{i}}=\varrho q_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which combined with Eq. (16) gives a simple expression for the partial specific volume $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{i}}=q_{\mathrm{i}} / \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varrho_{\mathrm{k}} q_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partial pressure $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $i$-th component can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{i}} \equiv \varrho_{i} v_{\mathrm{i}} P, \quad i=1 \text { to } n \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

With respect to Eqs $(I-6),(I-7)$ and ( $I-35$ ) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{\mathrm{i}} . \tag{2I}
\end{equation*}
$$

That the quantity $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ has the same physical meaning as partia! pressure is obvious from the following (ref. ${ }^{2}, \S 215$, and ${ }^{6}$ ). As follows from Eq. ( $I-35$ ) multiplied by $\varrho$ and combined with (I-6) and (I-7), $\varrho_{\mathrm{i}} v_{\mathrm{j}}$ can be considered as a fraction of volume occupied by the $i$-th component in a given place at a given time. Hence, $\varrho_{i} v_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be also considered as a fraction of surface passing through a given place at a given time, occupied by the $i$-th component. The partial pressure $P_{\mathrm{i}}$, as defined by Eq. (20), is therefore just that part of the total pressure $P$ which acts only on the $i$-th component through the considered surface element. It should be noted that in a mixture of ideal gases the term $\varrho_{i} v_{j}$ represents molar fraction, and Eqs (20) and (21) express the Dalton law. The partial pressure $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be also expressed with the aid of Eqs (16) and (19) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{i}}=\varrho \varrho_{\mathrm{i}} q_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Comparison with Results of Other Authors and Discussion

For a multicomponent mixture, Truesdell ${ }^{2}$ in $\S 254$ and 255 postulates a priori the existence of partial specific quantities and the form of caloric equations for components. Their consequence is the caloric (Gibbs) equation for mixtures.
It can be assumed that our specific quantities ${ }^{1}$ of mixtures $(y)$ are identical with those introduced by Truesdell ${ }^{2}$ (the same applies for $\varrho$ and $\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}$ ); however, we failed to prove that our partial specific quantities $\left(y_{i}\right)$ are identical with those defined a priori by Truesdell ${ }^{2}$. The property $y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} y_{i}$, characteristic for both kinds of these partial quantities, is necessary for their identity but not sufficient since the partial
quantities are in both cases generally functions of $w_{1}$ through $w_{n-1}$. A sufficient condition would be the validity of Eqs (I-45) or Gibbs-Duhem equations (I-48), which, however, is not assumed by Truesdell ${ }^{2}$.

For the same reasons, the derivation of partial pressure $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ in ref. ${ }^{7}$, Eqs (85)-(87), is incorrect since in the homogeneous case the intensive quantities are homogeneous functions of zero order with respect to component masses (and not independent of them as assumed ${ }^{7}$ ).

If we assumed that our partial specific quantities $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ are identical with Truesdell's then the caloric equations for components ${ }^{2,3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathrm{i}}=u_{\mathrm{i}}\left(s_{\mathrm{i}}, \varrho_{1}, \ldots \varrho_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \quad i=1 \text { to } n, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

are not the most general ones. Indeed, by comparison with our Eqs (5) and (6) it follows that Eq. (23) holds if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial v_{\mathrm{i}} / \partial \mathrm{s}\right)_{\rho_{\mathrm{s}}}=0, \quad i, j=1 \text { to } n, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in accord with Truesdell ${ }^{2}$, § 254,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial u_{\mathrm{i}} / \partial \partial_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}}=T, \quad i, j=1 \text { to } n . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Eq. (2) $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ is also function of $s, v, w_{1}$ through $w_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ and, of course, also of $T, P, w_{1}$ through $w_{n-1}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial S}\right)_{P j}=\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial S}\right)_{\mathrm{v}, w_{k}}=\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathrm{P}, w_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right)_{\mathrm{v}, w_{k}}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial S}\right)_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j=1$ to $n, k=1$ to $n-1$. On multiplying this by $w_{i}$ and adding for $i=1$ to $n$ we obtain with respect to Eqs $(11),(I-8)$ and $(I-35)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial T}\right)_{P, w_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right)_{v, w_{k}}+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial S}\right)_{v, w_{k}}=0, \quad k=1 \text { to } n=1 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define specific heat at constant volume and composition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \equiv(\partial u / \partial T)_{v, w_{k}}, \quad k=1 \quad \text { to } n-1, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write with respect to Eq. (I-15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\partial T / \partial s)_{v, w_{k}}=T / c, \quad k=1 \text { to } n-1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

On introducing Eqs (27) and (29) into (26) and rearranging we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial s}\right)_{\rho_{\jmath}}=T\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathrm{P}, w_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{k}}-\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathrm{P}, w_{k}}\right] / c\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{k}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j=1$ to $n, k=1$ to $n-1$, so that at usual conditions $\left(c \neq 0,(\partial v / \partial P)_{\mathrm{T}, w_{k}} \neq 0\right)$ $\mathrm{Eqs}(24)$ are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathrm{P}, \mathbf{w}_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{k}}-\left(\frac{\partial v_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial T}\right)_{P, w_{k}}=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1$ to $n, k=1$ to $n-1$. On subtracting Eqs (31) for $j$-th and $n$-th component and using Eq. (I-40) we obtain the condition (31) (hence (24)) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{T, w_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial T \partial w_{j}}\right)_{P, w^{j}}-\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial T}\right)_{P, w_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial P \partial w_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)_{T, w^{j}}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1$ to $n-1$. From this it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\mathrm{j}}}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{J}}}\right]_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{j}}}=\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\mathrm{j}}}\left[-\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}} /\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right]\right\}_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{j}}}=} \\
= & -\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{J}}}^{-2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial T \partial w_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)_{\mathrm{P}, \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{j}}}-\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial T}\right)_{\mathrm{P}, w_{\mathrm{j}}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial P \partial w_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)_{T, w^{j}}\right]=0 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1$ to $n=1$. This means that if Eq. (23) (hence (24)) holds in the general case, the function $(\partial P / \partial T)_{v, w_{3}}$ must be always independent of composition (at given temperature and pressure), which is certainly not true in general; e.g. for a binary mixture the mentioned function should be the same even for its pure components. Hence, Eq. (24) is generally invalid and the caloric equations for components (23) do not represent the general case.

The general caloric equation for a component can have the form $u_{i}=u_{i}\left(s_{1}, \ldots s_{n}\right.$, $\varrho_{1}, \ldots \varrho_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) used for the first time in the present work (Eq. (5)).

We shall compare now our definition of the partial pressure with similar equations derived by Truesdell and Toupin ${ }^{2}, \S 255$, for their caloric equations of components (23). From the comparison of Eqs (5), (6) and (9) (with the use of the condition (24)) with equivalent equations in ref. ${ }^{2}$ (Eqs (255.6), (255.9) ${ }_{1}$, (255.5); the partial volume is defined differently by $(255.5)_{1}$ ) it follows that Truesdell's Eq. $(255.12)_{1}$ is identical with our Eq. (16).

For a still more special case of the caloric equation (ref. ${ }^{2}$, Eq. (255.13))

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathrm{i}}=u_{\mathrm{i}}\left(s_{\mathrm{i}}, \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \quad i=1 \text { to } n, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

our partial pressure $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ is (as follows from Eqs (5), (9) and (22)) given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{i}}=\varrho_{\mathrm{j}} \varrho w_{\mathrm{i}} q_{\mathrm{it}}=-\left[\partial u_{\mathrm{i}} / \partial\left(1 / \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{t}}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that it is in this special case identical with that defined by Truesdell ${ }^{2}$, Eq. (255.14) ${ }_{1}$.

Bowen ${ }^{4}$ attempted in a complicated manner to circumvent the idea of partial pressure and ascertained that in the general case the partial pressure is meaningless. His result for the total pressure in a mixture of fluids (ref. ${ }^{4}$, Eq. $(9.13)_{2}$ ) is in our notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\varrho \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\partial f / \partial \frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that our total pressure, densities and specific free energy are identical with his quantities (ref. ${ }^{4}$, Eqs (9.11), (9.14), (4.12) and (2.20)). However, we shall show that this equation is equivalent to (21), i.e., that the total pressure $P$ can be expressed as a sum of partial pressures if these are defined by Eq. (20).

The summand in Eq. (36) can be rearranged with the aid of Eq. (I-5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\varrho}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\partial f / \partial \frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}}}=\varrho_{i} \varrho\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \rho^{\mathrm{i}}}=\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial(f \varrho)}{\partial \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{\rho}^{\mathrm{i}}}-f\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1$ to $n$. By the Legendre transformation ( $I-12$ ) with the aid of the free energy density (obtained by multiplying ( $I-9$ ) by the density $\varrho$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\varrho f)=-(\varrho s) \mathrm{d} T+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} \varrho_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the chemical potential $\mu_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial(\varrho f) / \partial \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right]_{\mathrm{T}, \rho^{\prime}}=\mu_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing this result into Eq. (37) and using (17) and (22) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\varrho}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\partial f / \partial \frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}, \rho^{\mathrm{i}}}=P_{\mathrm{i}}+\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\left(f_{\mathrm{i}}-f\right), \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this expression is introduced into Eq. (36) and Eqs ( $I-5$ ), ( $I-7$ ) and ( $I-36$ ) are accounted for, Eq. (21) is indeed the result. Contrary to Bowen ${ }^{4}$, even in the general case in a mixture of fluids the total thermodynamic pressure $P$ can be expressed as a sum of the quantities $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ defined by Eq. (20), to which, as was shown in the discussion of this equation, the physical meaning of partial pressures can be assigned.

For an ideal solution (in a mixture of fluids) defined by Bowen the partial free energy depends, besides temperature, only on the density of the envisaged component (and not on the densities of other components) (ref. ${ }^{4}$, Eq. (8.6)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{i}}=f_{\mathrm{i}}\left(T, \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (39) with ( $I-7$ ) and ( $I-36$ ) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\partial \varrho_{\mathrm{i}} f_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \partial \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}}=-\frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\partial f_{\mathrm{i}} / \partial \frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}}+f_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by comparison with (17) and (22) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\partial f_{\mathrm{i}} / \partial \frac{1}{\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)_{\mathrm{T}}=P_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the differential quotient is identical with the partial pressure defined for the mentioned ideal solution by Bowen ${ }^{4}$, Eq. (8.11) for mixture of fluids, hence this partial pressure is in our case identical with the quantity $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ defined by Eq. (20). Again, for the same reason as in the discussion of Truesdell's partial quantities at the beginning of this chapter, we assume that also our partial specific quantities $u_{\mathrm{i}}, s_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{i}}$ are identical with the a priori defined analogous quantities in ref. ${ }^{4}$, Eqs (2.20), (3.2) and (4.12). Our chemical potential $\mu_{\mathrm{i}}$, Eq. (I-11), is identical with that defined by Bowen ${ }^{4}$ since his Eq. (9.13), is identical with our (39).

Finally, it should be noted that Bowen's definitions of an ideal mixture, Eq. (8.3) ${ }^{4}$ for a mixture of fluids

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\mathrm{i}}=f_{\mathrm{i}}\left(T, \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \quad s_{\mathrm{i}}=s_{\mathrm{i}}\left(T, \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right),  \tag{44}\\
& \mu_{\mathrm{i}}=\mu_{\mathrm{i}}\left(T, \varrho_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \quad i=1 \text { to } n \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

(other definitions (8.3) in ref. ${ }^{4}$ are not important here) are generally not identical with the usual definition of an ideal solution in classical chemical thermodynamics ${ }^{8}$ (ref. ${ }^{5}$, chapter VIII). This definition involves the assumption that the molar chemical potential of component $i, \bar{\mu}_{\mathrm{i}}$, depends at constant $T$ and $P$ only on the molar fraction of $i$-th component, $x_{\mathrm{i}}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathrm{i}}=\bar{\mu}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(T, P, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / M_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (ref. ${ }^{5}$, chapter VII, § 3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\mathrm{i}}=\bar{S}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(T, P, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / M_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad f_{\mathrm{i}}=\bar{F}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(T, P, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / M_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1$ to $n$, and the partial molar volume, enthalpy and internal energy are in general independent of composition at constant $T$ and $P$. $\left(M_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ denotes relative molecular mass, $\bar{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\bar{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ partial molar entropy and free energy of $i$-th component.) Further, Eqs (I-6), (I-7) and (I-35) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}=x_{\mathrm{i}} M_{\mathrm{i}} / \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{\mathrm{k}} \bar{V}_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad i=1 \text { to } n \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{V}_{\mathbf{k}}=M_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}}$ means partial molar volume of $k$-th component. It is seen that for a classical ideal mixture characterized by Eq. (47) the values of $\mu_{\mathrm{i}}, f_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $s_{\mathrm{i}}$ according to Eqs (47)-(49) remain constant at constant $T, P, x_{\mathrm{i}}$ and changing $x_{\mathrm{k}}(k \neq i)$. However, according to Bowen's definitions (44)-(46) these partial quantities can change since $\varrho_{\mathrm{i}}$ according to Eq. (50) is a function of $x_{1}$ through $x_{n}$ which are assumed to change ( $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\bar{V}_{\mathrm{k}}=\bar{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(T, P)$ are constant; we assume that $\bar{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ values are generally not equal and $n>2$ ). Hence, the mentioned definitions of an ideal mixture are generally not identical.

The definition of an ideal mixture introduced by Lewis ${ }^{8}$ into chemical thermodynamics is bound to molar quantities. Therefore it cannot be expressed in terms of specific quantities without introducing relative molecular masses.

The author is indebted do Drs J. Landa, J. P. Novák and A. Malijevsk $\dot{y}$ for helpful criticism and discussions.

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$c \quad$ spec. heat at const. volume and composition
$\bar{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ partial molar free energy
$M_{\mathrm{i}}$ relative molecular mass of $i$-th component
$P_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ partial pressure of $i$-th component
$q_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ parameter defined by Eq. (9)
$q_{i 1}$ parameter defined by Eq. (7)
$\bar{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ partial molar entropy
$\bar{V}_{k} \quad$ partial molar volume
$x_{\mathrm{i}}$ molar fraction
$\delta_{i k} \quad$ Kronecker symbol
$\vartheta_{\mathrm{ik}}$ parameter defined by Eq. (6)
$\bar{\mu}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ molar chemical potential
Other symbols are the same as in Part I.
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